

# The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care

*The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care is committed to prevent and end the tragedy of homelessness among individuals and families.*

Serving Atoka, Bryan, Carter, Choctaw, Coal, Haskell, Hughes, Johnston, Latimer, LeFlore, Love, Marshall, McCurtain, McIntosh, Murray, Muskogee, Okfuskee, Okmulgee, Pittsburg, Pontotoc, and Pushmataha Counties.

## SEO CoC Rating and Ranking Procedures

The U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) will release the Continuum of Care (CoC) FY2023 CoC Program Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) on July 5, 2023. The Southeastern Oklahoma Continuum of Care (SEO CoC) will submit a collaborative application to HUD for competition funds on or before **September 28, 2023**. One of the primary responsibilities of the CoC is to develop a new, renewal and reallocation process for rating and ranking of submitted projects for inclusion on the Priority Listing.

### Rating and Review Procedure for New and Renewal Project Applications

When considering new and renewal applications for inclusion on the Priority Listing, the SEO CoC will conduct a preliminary review of all applications to determine if the project meets the eligibility and threshold requirements as established by HUD. *Any project not meeting the threshold requirements will not be further reviewed or considered for funding.* All projects that meet eligibility and threshold requirements will be presented to members of the SEO CoC Application Review Committee. In accordance with HUD regulations, no member may participate in or influence discussions or resulting decisions concerning the award of a grant or other financial benefit to the organizations that the member represents. The SEO CoC Application Review Committee members are knowledgeable about homelessness and housing in the area. The SEO CoC will establish a time frame that allows for review and discussion about the applications, questions and clarification about applications with applicant agencies, rating of the applications, and presenting project ratings and ranking recommendations. The SEO CoC Application Review Committee determines the rank and funding levels of all projects considering all of the information provided to them. The SEO CoC Application Review Committee may request clarification from applicant agencies and ask for correction of technical inaccuracies if they arise in conversation. The average score for the application is then used to determine the ranking. Renewal projects are rated and ranked using the HUD Rating and Ranking Tool. Projects that have not been in operation for at least one year will be ranked after the renewal projects and ahead of new project applications. New projects will be rated and ranked using the HUD Rating and Ranking Tool. They will be ranked after renewal projects.

The SEO CoC uses a two-tiered ranking system based on HUD's tiered system. Tier 1 funding is equal to 95% of the CoC's Annual Renewal Demand and Tier 2 is the difference between Tier 1 and the maximum amount of renewal, reallocation, and CoC Bonus funds. A project may straddle the Tier 1 and Tier 2 funding line. The SEO CoC Application Review Committee considers adjustments for CoC and HUD priorities to best position the CoC to receive maximum overall amount of funding. Applicants will be notified in writing whether their application was selected for inclusion on the Priority Listing or not selected. Applicants not selected by the CoC to be included in the CoC submission to HUD may appeal by submitting their e-Snaps Solo Application directly to HUD no later than **September 28, 2023, at 7:59:59pm (EST)**.

## NAVIGATION

- Customize Threshold Requirements
- Filter Rating Factors
- Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- Customize New Project Rating Tool

## CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

### CoC Threshold Requirements

*(Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)*

- Coordinated Entry Participation
- Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
- Documented, secured minimum match
- Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
- Project is financially feasible
- Applicant is active CoC participant
- Application is complete and data are consistent
- Data quality at or above 90%
- Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%
- Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

*(The first five requirements are used in the rating process either as Threshold or Factor)*

## FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select...

*Using these drop-down menus, select which rating factors to show and customize*

Select special population

Select...

## CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

*Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.*

### Performance Measures

#### Length of Stay

	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45 days	20 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in		

#### Exits to Permanent Housing

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	80 %	25 points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing		

#### Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)

<input type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20 %	10 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	<u>10</u> %	<u>15</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	<u>20</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		

**New or Increased Income and Earned Income**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	<u>8</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	<u>10</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	<u>15</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	<u>25</u> %	<u>2.5</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers		

**Serve High Need Populations** *(select from drop-down menu)*

<input type="checkbox"/>	APR data on ≥ 50% disability/zero income/unsheltered		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	<u>25</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	<u>25</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<u>25</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation	<u>25</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	<u>50</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry	<u>50</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	<u>25</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability	<u>25</u> %	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/>	PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry		
<input type="checkbox"/>	TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability		

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

- TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability
- TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation
- TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation
- TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry
- TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with zero income at entry
- TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability
- TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with more than one disability
- TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation
- TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants entering project from place not meant for human habitation

**Project Effectiveness**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<u>Yes</u>	<u>20</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<u>Yes</u>	<u>20</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	<u>80 %</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	<u>80 %</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	<u>80 %</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	<u>80 %</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		
<input type="checkbox"/> TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures		

**Equity Factors**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input type="checkbox"/> Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience of homelessness		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points

**Program Participant Outcomes**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points

**Other and Local Criteria**

*(select from drop-down menu)*

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <span style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">CoC Monitoring Score</span> Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (General) - Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> RRH (DV) - Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (General) - Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PSH (DV) - Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	<u>Yes</u>	<u>10</u> points

<b>Total Maximum Score</b>	RRH-General projects:	205	points
	RRH-DV projects:	205	points
	PSH-General projects:	220	points
	PSH-DV projects:	205	points
	TH-General projects:	70	points
	TH-DV projects:	70	points
	TH+RRH-General projects:	70	points
	TH+RRH-DV projects:	70	points

## CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

### Experience

	Factor/Goal	Max Point Value
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population and in providing housing similar to that proposed in the application.		15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.		10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.		5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.		5 points

### Design of Housing & Supportive Services

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.		15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.		15 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.		5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.		5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.		5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.		5 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.		10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.		10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.		10 points
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.		10 points

**Timeliness**

General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award. 10 points

**Financial**

General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points

DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type. 5 points

B. Organization's most recent audit:

General-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices 5 points

DV-1. Found no exceptions to standard practices 5 points

General-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points

DV-2. Identified agency as 'low risk' 5 points

General-3. Indicates no findings 5 points

DV-3. Indicates no findings 5 points

General-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points

DV-C. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. 5 points

General-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points

DV-D. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable. 20 points

**Project Effectiveness**

General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals 80 % 5 points

DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals 80 % 5 points

**Equity Factors**

**Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies**

New project has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Yes 10 points

New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g))

New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience or a plan to create one Yes 10 points

New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes Yes 10 points

**Program Participant Outcomes**

New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review 10 points

New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review 10 points

New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review 10 points

**Other and Local Criteria**

Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households. 10 points

Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households. 10 points

**Total Maximum Score**

General projects: 110 points

DV projects: 110 points

## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

*For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.*

Yes to all

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative applicant and project applicants should carefully review the annual NOFA criteria each year.

### HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

- |  |                          |
|--|--------------------------|
| 1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or<br><br>b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD  | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.   | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the “Do Not Pay” website. HUD reserves the right to:           | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;<br><br>b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and<br><br>c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements  | <input type="checkbox"/> |

**RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS**

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.	
12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.	
13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)	
14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.	
15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.	
16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.	

**CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS**

*For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".*

Coordinated Entry Participation	
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	
Documented, secured minimum match	
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	
Project is financially feasible	
Applicant is active CoC participant	
Application is complete and data are consistent	
Data quality at or above 90%	



## RENEWAL/EXPANSION THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list.*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

Acceptable organizational audit/financial review

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

[Print Blank Template](#)

[Print Report Card](#)

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

0%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	out of	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>					
<b>Length of Stay</b>					
<b>Exits to Permanent Housing</b>					
<b>Returns to Homelessness</b>					
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b>					
<b>Performance Measures Subtotal</b>			0	out of	0
<b>SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS</b>					
<b>Serve High Need Populations Subtotal</b>			0	out of	0
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>					
<b>Project Effectiveness Subtotal</b>			0	out of	0
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>					
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>					
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	10	out of	10
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	10	out of	10
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5	out of	10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>					
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	10	out of	10
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	5	out of	10
HMIS data review with equity lens	Plan to review disaggregated data	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	10	out of	10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>			50	out of	60
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>					
CoC Monitoring Score	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 50px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of	10
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>			0	out of	10
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>					
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>			50	out of	70
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>					
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>			71	out of	100

# RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

[Print Blank Template](#)

[Print Report Card](#)

Renewal/Expansion Projects  
 Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

0%

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	------------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------

**PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)			
Amount of private funding			
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		\$	-
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$	-
<b>Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year</b>			<b>0%</b>

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_ *Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_ *If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

### THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

*For each threshold, select "Yes" if applicant has fulfilled the threshold requirement and is eligible to submit an application.*

Stakeholders should NOT assume all requirements are fully addressed through this tool. CoC Program application requirements change periodically and annual NOFAs may provide more detailed guidance. The CoC collaborative

Yes to all

### HUD THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

1. Applicant has Active SAM registration with current information, and maintains an active SAM registration annually.	
2. Applicant has Valid UEI (Unique Entity Identifier) Number.	
3. CoC Program Eligibility – Project applicants and potential subrecipients meet the eligibility requirements of the CoC Program as described in the Act and the Rule and provide evidence of eligibility required in the application (e.g., nonprofit documentation).	
4. Financial and Management Capacity: Project applicants and subrecipients demonstrate the financial and management capacity and experience to carry out the project as detailed in the project application and the capacity to administer federal funds.	
5. Certifications - Project applicants submit the required certifications specified in the NOFO.	
6. Population Served - The population to be served meets program eligibility requirements as described in the Act, the Rule, and the NOFO.	
7. HMIS Participation - Project applicants, except Collaborative Applicants that only receive awards for CoC planning costs and, if applicable, UFA Costs, agree to participate in a local HMIS system. However, in accordance with Section 407 of the Act, any victim service provider that is a recipient or subrecipient not disclose, for purposes of HMIS, any personally identifying information about any client. Victim service providers use a comparable database that captures the required HMIS data in addition to meeting the needs of the local HMIS.	
8. Applicant has no Outstanding Delinquent Federal Debts – It is HUD policy, consistent with the purposes and intent of 31 U.S.C. 3720B and 28 U.S.C. 3201(e), that applicants with outstanding delinquent federal debt will not be eligible to receive an award of funds unless.	
a) A negotiated repayment schedule is established and the repayment schedule is not delinquent, or	
b) Other arrangements satisfactory to HUD are made before the award of funds by HUD	
9. Applicant has no Debarments and/or Suspensions – In accordance with 2 CFR 2424, no award of federal funds may be made to debarred or suspended applicants, or those proposed to be debarred or suspended from doing business with the Federal government.	
10. Pre-selection Review of Performance - If your organization has delinquent federal debt or is excluded from doing business with the Federal government, the organization may be ineligible for an award. In addition, before making a Federal award, HUD reviews information available through any OMB-designated repositories of government-wide eligibility qualification or financial integrity information, such as Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System (FAPIIS), and the “Do Not Pay” website. HUD reserves the right to:	
a) Deny funding, or with a renewal or continuing award, consider suspension or termination of an award immediately for cause;	
b) Require the removal of any key individual from association with management or implementation of the award; and	
c) Make provisions or revisions regarding the method of payment or financial reporting requirements	
11. Sufficiency of Financial Management System - HUD will not award or disburse funds to applicants that do not have a financial management system that meets Federal standards as described at 2 CFR 200.302. HUD may arrange for a survey of financial management systems for applicants selected for award who have not previously received Federal financial assistance, where HUD Program officials have reason to question whether a financial management system meets Federal standards, or for applicants considered high risk based on past performance or financial management findings.	

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS	YES/NO
------------------------	--------

12. False Statements - A false statement in an application is grounds for denial or termination of an award and may result in criminal, civil, and/or administrative sanctions, including fines, penalties, and imprisonment. Recipient or applicant confirms all statements are truthful.

13. Mandatory Disclosure Requirement - Recipients or applicants disclose in writing to the awarding program office at HUD, all violations of Federal criminal law involving fraud, bribery, or gratuity violations potentially affecting the Federal award within ten days after learning of the violation. Recipients that have received a Federal award including the term and condition outlined in Appendix XII to 2 CFR part 200—Award Term and Condition for Recipient Integrity and Performance Matters are required to report certain civil, criminal, or administrative proceedings to SAM. Failure to make required disclosures can result in any of the remedies described in § 200.338 Remedies for noncompliance, including suspension or debarment. (See also 2 CFR part 180, 31 U.S.C. 3321, and S.C. 2313.)

14. Prohibition Against Lobbying Activities - Applicants are subject to the provisions of Section 319 of Public Law 101-121, 31 U.S.C. 1352, (the Byrd Amendment), and 24 CFR part 87, which prohibit recipients of federal awards from using appropriated funds for lobbying the executive or legislative branches of the Federal government in connection with a Federal award. All applicants submit with their application the signed Certification Regarding Lobbying included in the Application download from Grants.gov. In addition, applicants disclose, using Standard Form LLL (SFLLL), "Disclosure of Lobbying Activities," any funds, other than federally appropriated funds, that will be or have been used to influence federal employees, members of Congress, or congressional staff regarding specific awards. Federally-recognized Indian tribes and tribally designated housing entities (TDHEs) established by federally-recognized Indian tribes as a result of the exercise of the tribe's sovereign power are excluded from coverage of the Byrd Amendment, but state-recognized Indian tribes and TDHEs established only under state law shall comply with this requirement. Applicants submit the SFLLL if they have used or intend to use non-federal funds for lobbying activities.

15. Equal Participation of Faith-Based Organizations in HUD Programs and Activities – Projects ensure that all projects meet the requirements under 24 CFR 5.109. On April 4, 2016, HUD amended 24 CFR 5.109 consistent with E.O. 13559, entitled Fundamental Principles and Policymaking Criteria for Partnerships with Faith-Based and Other Neighborhood Organizations (75 Fed. Reg. 71319 (Nov. 22, 2010)). (See 81 FR 19355). These regulations apply to all HUD programs and activities, including all of HUD's Native American Programs, except as may be otherwise provided in the respective program regulations, or unless inconsistent with the respective program authorizing statute.

16. Resolution of Civil Rights Matters - Outstanding civil rights matters be resolved before the application submission deadline. Project applicants, who after review are confirmed to have civil rights matters unresolved at the application submission deadline, will be deemed ineligible. Their applications will receive no further review, will not be rated and ranked, and will not receive funding.

### CoC THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

*For each requirement, select "Yes" if the project has provided reasonable assurances that the project will meet the requirement, has been given an exception by the CoC or will request a waiver from HUD. Otherwise select "No".*

Coordinated Entry Participation	<input type="text"/>
Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	<input type="text"/>
Documented, secured minimum match	<input type="text"/>
Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally	<input type="text"/>
Project is financially feasible	<input type="text"/>
Applicant is active CoC participant	<input type="text"/>
Application is complete and data are consistent	<input type="text"/>
Data quality at or above 90%	<input type="text"/>
Acceptable organizational audit/financial review	<input type="text"/>

## NEW PROJECTS THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

*Completed projects will be moved to the bottom of the list*

*If you would like to change the project type, please do so in the HIC and re-copy the data to the RAW HIC DATA tab, or do so in the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED.*

New Projects  
Threshold Review Complete

0%

THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

YES/NO

## NEW PROJECTS RATING TOOL

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Organization Name: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Type: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Project Identifier: \_\_\_\_\_

Print Blank Template

Print Report Card

New Projects  
Rating Complete

Met all threshold requirements

0%

RATING FACTOR	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
<b>EXPERIENCE</b>		
Experience Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>DESIGN OF HOUSING &amp; SUPPORTIVE SERVICES</b>		
Design of Housing & Supportive Services Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>TIMELINESS</b>		
Timeliness Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>FINANCIAL</b>		
B. Audit		
Financial Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS</b>		
Project Effectiveness Subtotal	0	out of 0
<b>EQUITY FACTORS</b>		
<b>Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies</b>		
Recipient has under-represented individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions	0	out of 10
Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience of homelessness	0	out of 10
Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	0	out of 10
<b>Program Participant Outcomes</b>		
Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or other underserved populations	0	out of 10
Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	0	out of 10
Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, age, and/or underserved populations	0	out of 10
<b>Equity Factors Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>out of 60</b>
<b>OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA</b>		
Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	0	out of 10
Project Type serves chronically homeless, youth, and/or domestic violence households.	0	out of 10
<b>Other and Local Criteria Subtotal</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>out of 20</b>
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>		
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>out of 80</b>
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>		
<b>Weighted Rating Score</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>out of 100</b>

### PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<small>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</small>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		0
Amount of private funding		0
<b>TOTAL PROJECT COST</b>		<b>\$ -</b>